

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,218	11/04/2003	David B. Olson	55328US010	5184
32692	7590 10/17/2006		EXAM	INER
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
	ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$i\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,218	OLSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert D. Harlan	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commuSANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 August 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	ierits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 27-40 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 27-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyatection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/701,218 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 1. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 08/08/2006 have been fully considered and they are found persuasive.
- The rejection of claims 40 remain rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al., EP 0 126
 (hereinafter "Yoshida") is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,218

Art Unit: 1713

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-23, 25 and 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al., EP 0 126 397

 (hereinafter "Yoshida") in view of Goetz, WO 97/01610. Yoshida

 teaches naphthalene derivative acrylate. See Yoshida, pages 3-
- 4. Yoshida further teaches polymerizing the naphthalene derivative acrylate to prepare polymers with a host of properties. See Table 1. The present invention claims a PSA with a high refractive index of 1.58 or more comprising an aromatic monomer. Yoshida teaches a naphthalene derivative acrylate. The PSA requirement is inherent in the teachings of Yoshida; most acrylates are known to be PSA's. In addition, Goetz teaches pressure sensitive adhesives with a high refractive index. See Goetz, page 8, lines 11-24. In view of Goetz, one having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Yoshida to obtain to required properties and additives. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that the use of PSA's as taught by Yoshida would be

Application/Control Number: 10/701,218 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

similarly useful and applicable to the adhesives taught in Goetz.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
 - 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,218 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh